

## REMARKS

In view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the above referenced application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-25 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-24 of co-pending Application No. 10/766,660.

A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) is enclosed to overcome the rejection.

Claim 4 is objected to because of an informality. Dependent Claim 4 has been amended to overcome this objection.

Claims 1, 4, 10, 12, 23, 28, and 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Because Group 1, Claims 1-25 have been elected, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 28 and 40 are not under review.

Regarding Claims 1 and 12, Claim 12 has been amended to recite "a fourth interface". Accordingly, independent Claim 1 and dependent Claim 12 are believed to be in proper format.

Regarding Claim 4, to make the definition clear for the record, and as used in the application, the term "smartphone," as is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, is any electronic handheld device that integrates the functionality of a mobile phone, Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), or other information appliance. Accordingly, dependent Claim 4 is believed to be in condition of allowance.

Regarding Claim 10, Claim 10 does not refer to a trademark/trade name "Powerpoint®." However, Claim 11 does refer to said trademark/trade name. Claim 11 has been completely rewritten. Accordingly, dependent Claim 11 is believed to be in condition of allowance.

Regarding Claim 20, Claim 20 does not refer to a trademark/trade name “Bluetooth.” However, Claim 21 does refer to said trademark/trade name. Claim 21 has been canceled.

Regarding Claim 23, Claim 23 does not refer to a term “Linux Kernal.” However, Claim 24 does refer to said term. Claim 24 has been canceled.

Claims 1, 4-16, 20, 21, and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al. (hereinafter “Edwards”).

Claims 2 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040088452 A1 to Scott.

Claims 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,745 to Cherian.

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,745 to Tsakiris.

Claim 23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,737 to Snowdon et al. (hereinafter “Snowdon”).

Claims 24 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040024809 to Edwards et al., in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 20040088452 A1 to Scott.

Claim 1, as amended, recites limitations all found in at least a parent priority application, U.S. Serial No. 09/559,678 entitled "Modular Computer System," filed April 27, 2000.

Therefore, the Edwards reference, having a priority date of August 1, 2002, is not applicable prior art. Specifically, support for the first interface is shown in Figure 4 as interface 24 coupled to a PDA 36, as discussed in the specification on page 13. The second interface is another interface 24 coupled to a processor 42 that may or may not reside in UCS 22, as discussed on page 14, and is adapted to drive a physically remote display 34, also shown in Figure 4.

Therefore, Applicant submits independent Claim 1 is allowable over the cited prior art. Remaining dependent Claims 2-20, 22, 23, and 25 depend from independent Claim 1, and are thus also in condition of allowance, and a notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

A Three Month Extension of Time is enclosed along with the requisite fee. No additional fees are believed to be due, however, the Examiner is authorized to debit deposit account 10-0096 should any other fees be due.

If any other matters remain, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney to resolve these matters by Examiner's amendment.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. C. Klinger', is written over the printed name.

Robert C. Klinger

Reg. No. 34,365

Attorney for Applicant

Jackson Walker L.L.P.

901 Main Street, Suite 6000

Dallas, Texas 75202

(214) 953-5978 Direct Dial

(214) 661-6873 Direct Fax